## TOWN OF CORTLANDT PLANNING AND ZONING BOARDS

ZONING BOARD MEETING

1 Heady Street
Cortlandt Manor, New York 10567
July 20, 2023
7:00 p.m. - 7:26 p.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Michael Fleming, Chairman

Wai Man Chin, Vice-Chairman

Chris Beloff, Member

Frank Franco, Member

Benito Martinez, Member

Tom Walsh, Member

Chris Kehoe, Director of Planning

1	July 20, 2023
2	(The board meeting commenced at 7:00 p.m.)
3	MR. MICHAEL FLEMING: I would like to
4	call to order the meeting of the town of
5	Cortlandt's Zoning Board of Appeals, for July 20,
6	2023. And, if you could call the roll, we'll
7	start with that. Pledge first or roll first?
8	MR. CHRIS KEHOE: Pledge first usually.
9	MR. FLEMING: Okay. If anyone wishes do
10	you join me? Please do. We're going to say the
11	Pledge of Allegiance.
12	MULTIPLE: I pledge allegiance to the
13	flag of the United States of America and to the
14	Republic for which it stands, one nation under
15	God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for
16	all.
17	MR. FLEMING: Alright, now the roll,
18	please.
19	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Martinez.
20	MR. BENITO MARTINEZ: Here.
21	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Franco.
22	MR. FRANK FRANCO: Here.
23	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Chin?
24	MR. WAI MAN CHIN: Here.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

2 MR. KEHOE: Mr. Fleming?

3 MR. FLEMING: Here.

MR. KEHOE: Mr. Walsh?

MR. THOMAS WALSH: Here.

MR. KEHOE: Mr. Beloff?

MR. CHRIS BELOFF: Here.

MR. KEHOE: Ms. Piccolo Hill noted as absent.

MR. FLEMING: Okay. Alright, so first just a couple of, kind of, paperwork, bookkeeping type things. Today's meeting, although we're holding this meeting, it did not get published by the publisher of the local newspaper, which is required to hold a meeting. So what we're going to do is we're going to have the meeting, listen to the applicants, have discussion, but we're not going to take any votes tonight. We're going to then continue the meeting to next week. None of the applicants -- to next month -- I'll give you the date for that in a second. None of the applicants have to be here for that. You're welcome to come back if you want to, but you don't have to appear twice. What we will do then

is open it for public comment, take any public comment if there is any, then close the public hearing and take a vote. I'm sorry that you have to -- you had to come in today and we won't be able to vote today. Unfortunately, the newspaper didn't publish it and we're, we're somewhat, you know, limited in what we can do but you don't have to come back twice, but you're welcome to if you want to come back next week -- sorry, next month. Next month's meeting, the August meeting, do you have a date for that?

MR. FRANCO: August, August 17th.

MR. FLEMING: August 17th. So the next meeting will be August 17th. So that's what we're going to do for each of our -- thank you -- so that's what we're going to do for each of our -- thank you, I got it. Thank you. Thank you. So that's what we're going to do for each of the hearings. All right, can I have a motion, with respect to the adoption of last month's meeting minutes?

MR. WALSH: Second.

MR. CHIN: So Moved.

1	July	20,	2023
---	------	-----	------

2 MR. FLEMING: Did somebody second it?

MR. CHIN: No. Somebody make a motion

4 for you.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. FLEMING: He moved it.

MR. CHIN: Okay.

MR. FLEMING: Seconded?

MR. CHIN: Second.

MR. FLEMING: Okay. All in favor?

MULTIPLE: Aye.

MR. FLEMING: Any opposed? Okay. So the meetings are adopted, the meeting minutes are adopted. We have three cases on for a public hearing today. We also have an adjourned case, from the June hearing. The adjourned case is, case number 2023-4. At the request of the applicant, actually during the May meeting where he advised he would be unlikely able to make the, the June or July meetings, we're going to adjourn this to the August 17, 2023 meeting. So case number 2023-4 will not be heard tonight and it will be adjourned to the August 17, 2023 meeting. All right, the next case, the first case on for tonight is case number 2023-8, application for

2.3

Building Permit Services. I think that's Mr.

Martinez's case.

MR. MARTINEZ: Yeah, Mrs. Sherman, thank you. We have case number 23 -- 2023-8 for the applicant name Zola Alexandra Chillogallo and Andres Quiridumbay. Please, tell us.

MR. FLEMING: Again, state your name.

MS. NORA HILDINGER: Good evening
Chairman and members of the board. My name is
Nora Hildinger of Building Permit Services and
I'm here representing Zola Chillogallo of 15
Henning Drive. We are here to request a variance
to allow a concrete barbecue grill ten feet by
38, 3.8 feet, or approximately 38 square feet to
be placed in the architectural front. The grill
is approximately 125 feet from the road. The
front yard setback is 30 feet. The grill is in
front of the house, but it is well behind the
front yard setback.

Furthermore, the grill is behind a very large outcropping of rocks, which completely conceals the grill. The grill is not visible from any viewpoint on the road. The grill structure is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

38 square feet, which is tiny. Because of the large outcropping of rocks, the house is set back very far from the road, 140 linear feet. The backyard is minimal and contains the septic. So that's the whole, pretty much the layout.

And now I'm going to, go into the five factors. Number one, whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood, or if any detriment to nearby properties will occur. This will not create an undesirable change to the neighborhood. It is a small concrete grill not visible from the road. Two, whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method feasible for the applicant to pursue other than an area of variance. The most logical place for the concrete grill is in the architectural front. It is not in the front yard setback and is at least 125 linear feet from the road and not visible from the road. This is the most accessible portion of the yard. Because of the outcropping, the house is setback very far and this is really the most flat, usable portion of the yard.

2.3

Three, whether the requested variance is substantial. It is not substantial. The grill is only 38 square feet. It will not -- it will have no effect on other properties. Four, whether the proposed variance will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district. The grill will not have adverse effect or impact on the physical environment or environmental conditions of the neighborhood. Once again, it's very small, 38 square feet and not visible from the surrounding properties.

Five, whether the alleged difficulty was self-created. Because of the topography of the property, this is the most logical place for the grill. Because the size of the structure is only 38 square feet, it would not require a building permit. Placement of the grill in the architectural front, the logical place for the grill created the need for the variance.

MR. FLEMING: All right, questions?

MR. MARTINEZ: Yes. Yes. No. So after reviewing all the factors that you just

1 July 20, 2023 highlighted, I have no problem with this or does 2 3 anyone have [unintelligible] [00:06:44] have 4 anything to add to please? I pretty much agree 5 with, with you. MR. FRANCO: So I, I was just wondering, 6 7 do you know any of your neighbors or do, does anybody in the neighborhood oppose, that you know 8 9 of at least, have any issues with this barbecue? MS. HILDINGER: I haven't heard 10 11 anything. Did anyone call, Chris? 12 MR. KEHOE: Well, no. But I, I believe 13 in checking with a code enforcement officer, I 14 always ask why these cases are in front of the 15 zoning board. I think he did say it was based on 16 a neighbor complaint. This would've been back, 17 you know, months ago. I don't know what caused 18 the owner to know he or she needed a variance. 19 MS. HILDINGER: I think they got a stop 20 work order. 21 MR. KEHOE: All right. So maybe it was 22 more so that we caught it than a neighbor caught 2.3 it.

Yeah.

MS. HILDINGER:

	$\mathbf{p}_{2} = \mathbf{p}_{2} = \mathbf{p}_{2}$
1	Page 1 July 20, 2023
2	MR. FRANCO: Okay.
3	MS. HILDINGER: So, because they, they
4	also I have a, they built a portico or a
5	carport.
6	MR. FRANCO: That's okay.
7	MS. HILDINGER: So I think that's what
8	they got the stop work order for. And that
9	brought up the grill in the front yard.
10	MR. FRANCO: Right.
11	MR. FLEMING The portico wouldn't be
12	considered an accessory structure? It's attached
13	house?
14	MR. KEHOE: It's connected.
15	MS. HILDINGER: It is, it's connected.
16	MR. FLEMING: Okay. It's connected.
17	MS. HILDINGER: And I've also, I, we
18	they put in a building permit
19	MR. FLEMING: Oh.
20	MS. HILDINGER: for, for a
21	retroactive building permit for that.
22	MR. CHIN: I'd like to say that what you
23	said on the first four factors, I agree with you
24	on that. All they're always self-created. But

2.3

that's a minor, minor opinion on my part, that it was, you know, that's it. So I have no problem with this. It's really hard to not have it be self-created.

MR. CHIN: It is. I always like to say it's 99 percent self created, you get one percent that's not.

MR. FLEMING: I'd just like to, I appreciate your presentation. I very much appreciate when you do these and you lay out the five factors. It makes our job a lot easier to, to really focus in on what the issues are. So, so I want to thank you for that. Yeah, the only, the only other question I had Chris has addressed really was, was there a complaint, because I'd want to know if there was, but it sounds like there wasn't. So, gentlemen, anything else you want to add?

MR. WALSH: No.

MR. FLEMING: Okay. We, we can't vote tonight on this. I think we otherwise would have. But if you want to come back, you can.

MS. HILDINGER: Okay.

	$\mathbf{p}_{2} = \mathbf{p}_{2} $
1	July 20, 2023
2	MR. FLEMING: If you don't want to come
3	back, that's completely okay.
4	MS. HILDINGER: I can't wait.
5	MR. FLEMING: You can listen to it
6	online, that's fine as well. All we're going to
7	do is open it up for a public hearing. I don't
8	know if anyone will be here. If there's not, we
9	will close it and vote right after that.
10	MS. HILDINGER: Okay.
11	MR. CHIN: I think
12	MS. HILDINGER: Great.
13	MR. CHIN: you hear what we're going
14	towards.
15	MS. HILDINGER: Right.
16	MR. CHIN: Okay.
17	MR. KEHOE: And, and you heard Chairman
18	Fleming I forgot what I was going to say. Oh,
19	Zoom, that, you know, you can always just keep an
20	eye on it in Zoom on the slim chance that someone
21	does show up.
22	MR. FLEMING: Sure.
23	MR. KEHOE: You, you could respond.

MS. HILDINGER: Okay.

1 July 20, 2023 2 MR. KEHOE: But there's no need to have to come back to the town hall if you don't want 3 4 to. MS. HILDINGER: I'll do that. 5 MR. FLEMING: I'm just trying to save 6 7 you time and money, there's no reason for you to 8 have to --9 MS. HILDINGER: Okav. 10 MR. FLEMING: -- to be here twice, so. 11 MS. HILDINGER: Okay. All right, great. 12 MR. FLEMING: Thank you. 13 MS. HILDINGER: Thank you. Thank you. 14 MR. FLEMING: I do, I do want to open it 15 up to the public if there is anyone here who knew 16 about this and is here. Again, because it wasn't 17 published in the newspaper, we, we can't close 18 public hearing, but --19 MR. WALSH: Well, we adjourn it, 20 correct? 21 MR. FLEMING: We, we will. Does any, 22 does anyone have any comments on this they want

to make, members of the public or, or online on

Zoom? No. Okay.

2.3

2.3

2 MR. KEHOE: Okay.

MR. FLEMING: So what I'm going to do is
I need a motion to adjourn this till, I don't
need a motion for that, actually, no. I can just
adjourn this. We're going to adjourn the public
hearing until the August meeting and, we'll --

MS. HILDINGER: Okay.

MR. FLEMING: -- have information for you after that. All right, going back to the next case, our next case now is, case number 2023-9, the application of Jennie Thomas of JJ and Summit Realty. And I believe this is yours. No, no? Who's is this one?

MR. FRANCO: Chris.

MR. KEHOE: Mr. Lentini is in the room, or in the building. He's actually at another meeting. I just went over to call him. He's walking down the hall now.

MR. FLEMING: Okay.

MR. KEHOE: He'll be here in a couple seconds. But you, you can start if you want, Chris.

MR. BELOFF: Okay. So I'm assigned case

2.3

2023-9. The applicant is JJM Summit Realty, address is 1 Jerome Avenue. It's zoned R10 with transitional location and they're requesting a variance, front yard, side yard and minimum landscape coverage for proposed addition to an existing dental office.

MR. FLEMING: We just called the case. You're welcome to --

MR. JOHN LENTINI: Oh, sorry for the delay.

MR. FLEMING: No problem.

MR. LENTINI: I'm John Lentini,
architect for, Dr. Marcos and Jennie Thomas.

Excuse me. I have a -- had a conflict with
another hearing in town. Good evening, Mr.

Chairman, members of the board. The, the property
located on Jerome and Route 6 has been used for a
dentist office for a certain amount of -- a long
time, I, I'm not sure exactly how long, and it
became very rundown. It's a small practice, one
dentist. There was an apartment upstairs, I'm not
sure if it was used by the dentist, but there was
an actual dwelling unit. And our proposal is to

2.3

eliminate that and make that a private office for the doctor and then expand it so that they could put operatories in the -- in, in the, the space, surrounding all this technical equipment, x-rays and other, you know, devices required for working on teeth. And, they would -- they already have a couple of dentists working for them, but I don't believe it's going to be full-time staffed by many dentists. And if you've been to a dentist recently, one dentist bounces around to three or four operatories and keeps people waiting there.

When they bought the property, they hired another architect. I'm the second architect. And they came up -- they didn't want to go through the chance of getting refused or they didn't want to go through the time, which now they have, to need a variance. So they created a complying situation which didn't meet their needs, but they were ready to go with it until they met me. A neighbor right next door had introduced me and I said, well, if it doesn't meet your needs, why would you even pursue it? So I sat with them and made a smaller configuration,

2.3

however, requiring an easement of two -- excuse me, a variance of two corners.

This is a lot neater and straightforward. It's not a large variance. In terms of the tests, it wasn't self-created. By, by the definition, they didn't do the work yet and they didn't do anything that got to where they are except for buy the building. And if in fact they had to, they would probably either use it the way it is or go back to the other scenario, which wouldn't work.

As far as the effect on the neighborhood, it is bound to affect the neighborhood because there'll be a more, a little more traffic exiting right by the light. But it wouldn't be traveling through the neighborhood because that -- the neighborhood is kind of a loopy dead end. So this is at the very end.

I discovered now that I'm spending time there that the light is triggered by people, like whenever you pull up to the light, it changes for you on Jerome. I was talking to one of the residents there who said, well, that's only

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

during the day. At night, you could be sitting there forever because it's timed. So we wouldn't be affecting that one way or the other because the business will be closed at night.

As far as the -- and any, any other way of doing it, frankly, I can't see another way of getting what they want in, in that space. And as far as the minimum amount of disturbance, because of my dealings with the code enforcement and other things we might have found ourselves into, we're essentially not touching the site. We're not going to disturb the driveways. The landscaping that's there, only a few bushes, will be relocated in the front. And otherwise it's not going to be a lot of construction vehicles. It's not like you're going to have dirt coming, tracking back and forth on the street. It should be very quick and very neat, a very neat, job. So, we're, we're, open for any questions. I am open. I just want to say the doctor didn't think the hearing would be tonight, so they rescheduled something else. They may be on Zoom. I, I called them and said that they're -- are they?

2.3

2 MR. KEHOE: They're not.

MR. LENTINI: Okay. Well perhaps they didn't get that connection. But, I'm here to answer any questions that you might have.

MR. BELOFF: So, I mean, I don't see
this variance as being a substantial variance. I,
I don't see any other issues except, I, I guess,
for public, does anybody in the public have
anything to say? I'm curious --

MR. FLEMING: Let's finish up here first.

MR. BELOFF: -- if any of the surrounding neighbors --

MR. FLEMING: We'll finish up here first and then we'll ask for, for public comment. So I'll go through the rest of the members of the board. Anybody else have comment you want to make?

MR. CHIN: Yeah. Actually based on the variance that you need is, like a 29 square foot that, or a corner, a little corner on Jerome

Drive and, and a hundred square feet on Route 6?

MR. LENTINI: That's about it, yeah.

2.3

MR. CHIN: And that's it. And again, you got a handicap ramp coming in from the parking lot going up in through there. Otherwise, you're not asking much of a variance on from the zoning board.

MR. LENTINI: Right. We're improving the handicap ramp because the one that's there is too steep. So that's going to be, but it's on the landscaping and it's not going to --

MR. CHIN: Well, that, that's, that, that's in front of the, the planning board and they're the lead agency right now on that. So, you know, we're going to have to follow them on this thing.

MR. FLEMING: Yeah. And, I'm sorry, you actually, since you were late, you missed my initial comment. So, for tonight's hearing, unfortunately the local paper of record did not publish in time for the hearing, the notice. So we -- not on your case, we actually weren't going to take a vote anyway tonight because --

MR. LENTINI: Understood.

MR. FLEMING: -- the planning board is

2.3

actually the lead agency, so we would follow their decision. But, but regardless of that, we can't take a vote because we didn't, we didn't get the published, the meeting published. So what we're going to do at the end of this, we're going to invite public comment, but at the end of, of this presentation, we're going to adjourn this until next month's hearing, which is August 17, 2023.

MR. LENTINI: Okay.

MR. FLEMING: You don't have to come back. No one has to come back. What we're all we're going to do is open it up for further public comments since that will meet the open meetings law, so with the publication in the newspaper before the meeting.

MR. LENTINI: Understood.

MR. FLEMING: And then, and then certainly if you want to come, you can, if you want to be available on Zoom, you can, to the extent someone has a question, I don't know if there's going to be public questions.

MR. LENTINI: I'll, I'll be here.

2.3

MR. FLEMING: Okay. And then after that we'll close it and then, but we won't be voting on this because of the planning board has to, has to, has to finish their work first.

 $\label{eq:mr.lentini} \text{MR. LENTINI:} \quad \text{I'm just delighted it} \\ \text{wasn't my fault.}$ 

MR. FLEMING: No problem. All right.

Anybody else have any, questions or comments? All right. So I would like to open it up to the public. If anyone from the public does have a comment they want to make, we're happy to listen to it. And if anyone on Zoom has a comment to make, you can raise your hand. Oh, and there's no comments on Zoom. Okay. So what we're going to do is we're going to adjourn this to allow, a public hearing in the August 17, 2023 meeting.

MR. LENTINI: Okay.

MR. FLEMING: So you'll have to wait a month for that.

MR. LENTINI: We've been waiting. Fine.

MR. CHIN: At least a month. We have to stand back [unintelligible] [00:17:56].

MR. LENTINI: Oh, for, I have to finish

1	July 20, 2023
2	the plans too. These are, I didn't do the
3	construction drawings yet pending the results of
4	this.
5	MR. KEHOE: And, And you're going to be
6	in front of the planning board on Tuesday night.
7	MR. LENTINI: Tuesday night.
8	MR. KEHOE: For more discussion anyway.
9	MR. LENTINI: Right. And I'll answer
10	your memo. I've looked at it, but.
11	MR. FLEMING: I do appreciate your
12	letter, by the way, though. Your last letter was
13	very clear and helped us out quite a bit.
14	MR. LENTINI: Well, thank you.
15	MR. FLEMING: And [unintelligible]
16	[00:18:14] all the issues. So I appreciate that
17	very much.
18	MR. LENTINI: We're all set.
19	MR. FLEMING: Okay. Okay.
20	MR. LENTINI: Thank you. Thank you,
21	thank you very much. Back to the other one.
22	MR. FLEMING: All right. And now we have
23	one last, this is case number 2023-10, the
24	application of Ravi Raj for the property of Derek

	$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{A}}$
1	Page 2 July 20, 2023
2	Kilner and Claire Benoit. Tom, this is yours?
3	MR. WALSH: Yeah, this is my case.
4	MR. FLEMING: Okay.
5	MR. WALSH: Is somebody here from the
6	applicant to explain what's happening?
7	MS. CLAIRE BENOIST: Hi.
8	MR. WALSH: How are you today?
9	MS. BENOIST: Good evening. I apologize
10	if my presentation will not be as professional as
11	the
12	MR. FLEMING: That's all right.
13	MR. KEHOE: I have I have your notes.
14	MS. BENOIST: I have them as well.
15	MR. KEHOE: Okay. And they have it as
16	well. So that's
17	MS. BENOIST: Okay.
18	MR. WALSH: So, if you just want to tell
19	us what you, you know, how you got to us here and
20	what you're doing and what, what, you know, what
21	happened and brought you here.
22	MS. BENOIST: Yes. So we've been under
23	renovation on this property since June 2022. We
24	purchased the property in October 2021. It was a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

big project. We had an open permit with, the building department. And there was a shed there in the exact location where we were -- where we have now put a new shed in that we replaced because it was crumbling. The roof was caved in and it was missing a door. There was lots of problems with it. So we went to replace it. I did check the town code as best as I knew how to, and determined -- here's the old shed -- determined that the, it had to be under a hundred square feet to not require a permit. And I called the office also to sort of verify that, and was told that I just couldn't go under the -- over the septic, or within ten feet of the septic. And so I just thought that that would be okay. So we went ahead and purchased it. It's there now. That's it now. It's in the exact same location. It is 40 feet from the road, on the side of our house, which is, I guess the architectural front, because it's what faces the road.

And I, I do think that it was put in this situation, in this position, you know, 40 years ago or however many years, it's been there

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

because we have a very large pond on the property. So our wetlands setback encompasses a large area of the property where we, where we would not be able to put any structure on. The back of the house, we're also extremely close to the property line. We're only 11 feet from the property line. So, and, and it's boulders back there. So there wouldn't be any areas back there. And then on the, sort of, on the other side of the house, the northeast side, we have -- it's just a hilly lawn and a tree line that separates us from the property at 135 Colabaugh Pond Road. And those neighbors were very worried during our construction that we would tear down trees or sort of put anything in their section. I was happy to reassure them that we weren't planning on it. So I, I -- yeah, that's, you know, if we had to put it back there, we'd probably have to cut down some trees, because the tree line does come -- our lawn isn't very big on that side.

So in terms of the five factors, whether it's an undesirable change in the neighborhood, we just -- we replaced a shed. And I do have a

2.3

letter from one of our neighbors that, you know, states that they do not find it to be an undesirable effect, that we've only been, we've only received positive feedback from most of the neighbors or that we've met so far, as to the construction in general and certainly the shed.

That the request, the variance is substantial, it's 96 square feet. So I don't believe it is. That the benefits can be achieved by another method, again, because of the wetland setback, we just don't have very many other places to put it. And, but the difficulty was self-created, I just don't know how to answer that.

MR. WALSH: Yeah.

MR. FLEMING: That's okay. No worries.

MR. WALSH: No, no one can answer that.

MR. FLEMING: It almost always is. And, and, and it almost always doesn't, doesn't play a huge role in our decision.

MS. BENOIST: Yeah. I mean, we have a survey from 1984, 1985. I think it's in the packet as well, and the shed is already there. So

2.3

2 it's been created, we created it again.

MR. WALSH: All right. So yeah, as I go through the five factors that we have, you know, is an undesirable change being produced? No. I mean there was an existing shed there, the one you replace it with is a lot nicer and smaller. The benefits sought by the applicant can be achieved by some the method or feasible, just the topography of your land, the, the, the, wetland setback, your septic has got to be in there somewhere too is this was probably the logical choice they picked years ago with the original shed.

Ms. BENOIST: That's what I assume.

MR. WALSH: Yeah, the area is substantial, you know, it's under a hundred square feet. It's not a substantial request. Proposed variance would adversely effect on the neighborhood. It's not, you know, I don't think it's seen by the street. I mean, you're on a, a side street or a private road.

MS. BENOIST: It is a private road.

MR. WALSH: I did have a, you know, a

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

question that I put back to code enforcement. You're within the 50 foot setback requirement, you're at 40 feet. And I'd asked if we needed a separate variance for that, but they said, no, we're just issuing a one variance for your accessory structure in the front yard. So that was really my, my one question that I had and it was answered by code enforcement. So, I have no, no issues with any of the factors. I'm, I'm in favor of it.

MR. FLEMING: Any other members of the board have any comments they want to make? No? MR. FRANCO: No.

MR. FLEMING: Alright. So the same, same goes for you. Unfortunately, we can't vote for you tonight.

MS. BENOIST: I understand.

MR. FLEMING: I, I think, as you're hearing, none of us have any objection to it. But what we're going to do is, I mean, I'm going to ask if there's any members of the public -- the room is empty, so there's no one present.

MR. KEHOE: Her architect, your

1	July 20, 2023
2	architect is on the Zoom call. He's been
3	listening, in case there are any technical
4	questions, but I don't think there are, so.
5	MR. FLEMING: I don't think we have any
6	technical questions. If there's anything you want
7	to add, you're welcome to do so, sir or ma'am.
8	MR. WALSH: No, nothing to add.
9	MR. KEHOE: Nothing to add.
10	MR. FLEMING: Nothing to add, Okay. All
11	right. So that's fine. So what we'll do is we're
12	going to adjourn this until the August 17, 2023
13	meeting. What we'll do at that time is open it up
14	for public comment. If there is no public
15	comment, we'll immediately close it and take a
16	vote. So, I'm sorry, you, you do have to wait
17	until next month.
18	MS. BENOIST: That's alright.
19	MR. FLEMING: But that's
20	MS. BENOIST: Our, all of our
21	inspections have been passed, so this is the only
22	thing.
23	MR. KEHOE: Yeah, so, we didn't mention
24	it with the other ones. There are no more

1	July 20, 2023
2	mailings or anything required. I just have to get
3	it in the newspaper. So you already did the
4	mailings. You have no more responsibilities. I'll
5	just get it in the paper.
6	MR. FLEMING: Yeah.
7	MS. BENOIST: Okay. All right.
8	MR. FLEMING: Thank you.
9	MS. BENOIST: All right, sounds good.
10	Thank you. Have a good night.
11	MR. CHIN: Have a nice night.
12	MR. FLEMING: All right. Is there
13	anything else? Can I have a motion?
14	MR. CHIN: I make a motion to close the
15	hearing.
16	MR. FRANCO: Second.
17	MR. FLEMING: All in favor?
18	MULTIPLE: Aye.
19	MR. FLEMING: All opposed? None. So
20	moved.
21	(The public board meeting concluded at
22	7:26 p.m.)
23	
24	

## CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Claudia Marques, certify that the foregoing transcript of the Zoning Board of Appeals meeting of the Town of Cortlandt on July 20, 2023 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Claudia Marques

Date: August 22, 2023

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

256 West 38th Street - 10th Floor

New York, NY 10018